

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP  
2 Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)  
charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com  
3 David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)  
davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com  
4 Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)  
melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com  
5 John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)  
johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com  
6 Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)  
jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com  
7 50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4788  
8 Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

9 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 WAYMO LLC,

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

13 Plaintiff,

**DECLARATION OF FELIPE  
CORREDOR IN SUPPORT OF  
DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES,  
INC. AND OTTOMOTTO LLC'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL**

14 vs.

15 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
16 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING  
LLC,

17 Defendants.

18

19 the

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 I, Felipe Corredor, declare as follows:

2       1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am admitted to  
 3 practice before this Court. I am an associate at the law firm Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan,  
 4 LLP, counsel for the Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”). I have personal knowledge of the matters set  
 5 forth in this Declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those matters.

6       2. I make this declaration in support of Defendants’ Uber Technologies, Inc. and  
 7 Ottomotto LLC’s Administrative Motion to File Under Seal (the “Administrative Motion”). The  
 8 Administrative Motion seeks an order sealing highlighted portions of Defendants’ Opposition to  
 9 Waymo’s Motion for Leave to File Amended List of Elected Trade Secrets, Supplemental Expert  
 10 Reports, and Revised Witness and Exhibit Lists (“Uber’s Opposition”), as well as the entirety of the  
 11 Burnette Declaration and Exhibits 1-3, 5, and 9-11 to the Chang Declaration.

12       3. The portions of Uber’s Opposition and Exhibits 2-3 marked in red boxes and the green  
 13 highlighted portions of Exhibit 1, as well as the entirety of the Burnette Declaration and Exhibit 9  
 14 contain or refer to trade secrets and confidential business information, which Waymo seeks to seal.

15       4. Uber’s Opposition (portions marked in red boxes in version filed herewith), the  
 16 Burnette Declaration (entire document), Exhibit 1 (portions highlighted in green in version filed  
 17 herewith), Exhibits 2-3 (portions marked in red boxes in versions filed herewith), and Exhibit 9 (entire  
 18 document) contain, reference, and/or describe Waymo’s asserted trade secrets, including as  
 19 misappropriated by Defendants. The information Waymo seeks to seal includes the confidential  
 20 design and functionality of Waymo’s proprietary autonomous vehicle system, including its source  
 21 code and LiDAR designs, which Waymo maintains as secret. I understand that these trade secrets are  
 22 maintained as secret by Waymo (Dkt. 25-47) and that the trade secrets are valuable to Waymo’s  
 23 business (Dkt. 25-31). The public disclosure of this information would give Waymo’s competitors  
 24 access to descriptions of the functionality or features of Waymo’s autonomous vehicle system. If such  
 25 information were made public, I understand that Waymo’s competitive standing would be  
 26 significantly harmed.

27       5. Exhibit 1 (portions highlighted in green in version filed herewith) and Exhibit 9 (entire  
 28 document) additionally contain, reference, and/or describe Waymo’s highly confidential and sensitive

1 business information. The information Waymo seeks to seal regards confidential details regarding  
2 Waymo's business, including details about potential partnerships and product release details. I  
3 understand that this confidential business information is maintained by Waymo as secret. The public  
4 disclosure of this information would give Waymo's competitors access to Waymo's business strategy  
5 for its autonomous vehicle system. If such information were made public, I understand that Waymo's  
6 competitive standing would be significantly harmed.

7       6.      Waymo's request to seal is narrowly tailored to those portions of Uber's Opposition,  
8 the Burnette Declaration, and Exhibits 1-3 and 9 that merit sealing.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in San Francisco, California, on October 30, 2017.

By /s/ Felipe Corredor  
Felipe Corredor  
Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

## ATTESTATION

18 In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this  
19 document has been obtained from Felipe Corredor.

By: /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven  
Charles K. Verhoeven